

Bill Hosmer 9/17/09

DUSPA Organizational Budget

Project Cost Tracking

As Of 08/31/09

CHECK

OK

Development Budget

Category	(6/4/2009) Initial Budget	Revisions	Revised Budget	K Amount	Non-K Est	Pd To Date	Est To Compl	Ttl Forecast	Variance
Hard Costs									
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hard Cost Contingency	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Hard Costs	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Soft Costs									
TIFIA Application Fee	30,000	0	30,000	0	30,000	0	30,000	30,000	0
TIFIA Transaction Fee	300,000	0	300,000	0	300,000	0	300,000	300,000	0
TIFIA Processing Fee	75,000	0	75,000	0	75,000	0	75,000	75,000	0
TIFIA Project Monitoring Fee	40,000	0	40,000	0	40,000	0	40,000	40,000	0
TIFIA Annual Service Fee	11,000	0	11,000	0	11,000	0	11,000	11,000	0
RRIF Application Fee	200,000	0	200,000	0	200,000	85,000	115,000	200,000	0
S&P Rating Fee	100,000	0	100,000	0	100,000	0	100,000	100,000	0
Financial Advisor, incl Reimb	105,000	0	105,000	0	105,000	45,763	59,237	105,000	0
D & O Insurance	60,000	0	60,000	0	57,156	57,156	0	57,156	2,844
Legal Fees Thru 4/30/09	500,000	0	500,000	0	499,183	499,183	0	499,183	817
Legal Fees - May, 2009	100,000	0	100,000	0	87,558	87,558	(0)	87,558	12,442
Owners Rep. Jan - Apr, 2009	200,000	0	200,000	0	200,000	0	200,000	200,000	0
Owner's Rep - May, 2009	75,000	0	75,000	0	75,000	0	75,000	75,000	0
Developer Fee, Jan - Apr, 2009	700,000	0	700,000	0	700,000	700,000	0	700,000	0
Peer Design Review	0	12,500	12,500	0	12,500	0	12,500	12,500	0
Historic Assessment Grant Match	0	33,000	33,000	0	33,000	0	33,000	33,000	0
Public Process	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bank Fees	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Interest Income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Soft Cost Contingency	504,000	(45,500)	458,500	0	0	0	0	0	458,500
Total Soft Costs	3,000,000	0	3,000,000	0	2,525,397	1,474,660	1,050,737	2,525,397	474,603
Total Project Costs	3,000,000	0	3,000,000	0	2,525,397	1,474,660	1,050,737	2,525,397	474,603

Check

0

Notes

Reconciliation To Books	
Loan From CCD	500,000
Loan From RTD	1,500,000
Interest Income	1,018
	0
	0
Total Sources Per Books	2,001,018
Total Costs Per Tracking	1,474,660
Cash On Hand at 8/31/09 (Organizational Account)	526,358

DUSPA Organizational Budget

Project Cost Tracking

As Of 08/31/09

Detail By Vendor

CHECK OK

Vendor	Category	K Amount	Non-K Est	Pd To Date	Est To Comm	Ttl Forecast	Comments
Marsh	D & O Insurance ▼	57,156	57,156	57,156	0	57,156	thru 5/1/10
Federal RR Admin	RRIF Application Fee ▼	85,000	85,000	85,000	0	85,000	
TIFIA Application Fee	TIFIA Application Fee ▼	30,000	0	0	30,000	30,000	
TIFIA Transaction Fee	TIFIA Transaction Fee ▼	300,000	0	0	300,000	300,000	
TIFIA Processing Fee	TIFIA Processing Fee ▼	75,000	0	0	75,000	75,000	
TIFIA Project Monitoring Fee	TIFIA Project Monitoring ▼	40,000	0	0	40,000	40,000	
TIFIA Annual Service Fee	TIFIA Annual Service Fee ▼	11,000	0	0	11,000	11,000	
RRIF Application Fee	RRIF Application Fee ▼	115,000	0	0	115,000	115,000	
S&P Rating Fee	S&P Rating Fee ▼	100,000	0	0	100,000	100,000	
Alex Brown Financial Advisor	Financial Advisor, incl Reel ▼	105,000	45,763		59,237	105,000	
Hogan & Hartson	Legal Fees Thru 4/30/09 ▼	412,255	412,255		0	412,255	
Bookhardt & O'Toole	Legal Fees Thru 4/30/09 ▼	86,928	86,928		0	86,928	
Hogan & Hartson	Legal Fees - May, 2009 ▼	64,603	64,603		(0)	64,603	
Bookhardt & O'Toole	Legal Fees - May, 2009 ▼	22,955	22,955		0	22,955	
Owners Rep. Jan - Apr, 2009	Owners Rep. Jan - Apr, 2009 ▼	200,000	0	0	200,000	200,000	
Owner's Rep - May, 2009	Owner's Rep - May, 2009 ▼	75,000	0	0	75,000	75,000	
Developer Fee, Jan - Apr, 2009	Developer Fee, Jan - Apr, ▼	700,000	700,000		0	700,000	
Peer Design Review	Peer Design Review ▼	12,500			12,500	12,500	
Historic Assessment Grant Match	Historic Assessment Grant ▼	33,000	0	0	33,000	33,000	
Public Process	Public Process ▼	0	0	0	0	0	
	▼	0	0	0	0	0	
	▼	0	0	0	0	0	
	▼	0	0	0	0	0	
	▼	0	0	0	0	0	
	▼	0	0	0	0	0	
	▼	0	0	0	0	0	
	▼	0	0	0	0	0	
	▼	0	0	0	0	0	
Bank Fees	Bank Fees ▼	0	0	0	0	0	
Interest Income	Interest Income ▼	0	0	0	0	0	
Soft Cost Contingency	Soft Cost Contingency ▼	0	0	0	0	0	
Check		0	2,525,397	1,474,660	1,050,737	2,525,397	
		0	0	(0)	0	0	

Bill Mosher 9/17/09

DENVER UNION STATION PROJECT AUTHORITY
STATEMENT OF NET SURPLUS IN ASSETS
AS OF AUGUST 31, 2009

ASSETS

Current Assets:

Cash - Vectra (Pre-Development Account)	526,358
Cash - Vectra (Construction Account)	173
Accounts Receivable	0
Total Current Assets	526,531

Non-Current Assets:

Capital Assets (Paid)	8,575,846
Capital Assets (Accrued)	0
Total Non-Current Assets	8,575,846
Total Assets	9,102,377

LIABILITIES AND NET SURPLUS IN ASSETS

Current Liabilities:

Accounts Payable	0
A/P - Mosher/Sullivan Development Partners	250
Loan Payable - City & County Of Denver	500,000
Loan Payable - RTD	1,500,000
Total Current Liabilities	2,000,250

Non-Current Liabilities:

Bonds Payable	0
Total Liabilities	2,000,250

Net Surplus In Assets:

Paid In Capital - RTD	7,101,109
Restricted	0
Unrestricted	1,018
Total Surplus in Assets	7,102,127
Total Liabilities and Net Surplus in Assets	9,102,377

DENVER UNION STATION PROJECT AUTHORITY
STATEMENT OF REVENUE, EXPENSES, AND CHANGES IN NET ASSETS
FOR THE FIVE MONTHS ENDED AUGUST 31, 2009

<u>Operating Revenues (Expenses)</u>	
Misc Operating Revenues	0
Misc Operating Expenses	<u>0</u>
Net Operating Income	<u>0</u>
<u>Nonoperating Revenue (Expenses)</u>	
Interest Income	1,018
Bank Charges	<u>0</u>
Net Nonoperating Income	<u>1,018</u>
Increase In Net Assets	1,018
Net Surplus In Assets at March 31, 2009	<u>0</u>
Net Assets At August 31, 2009	<u><u>1,018</u></u>

DENVER UNION STATION PROJECT AUTHORITY
STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS
FOR THE FIVE MONTHS ENDED AUGUST 31, 2009

<u>Cash Flows From Nonoperating Activities</u>	
Interest Received	1,018
General & Administrative Expenses	0
Net Cash Provided By Nonoperating Activities	<u>1,018</u>

<u>Cash Flows From Capital and Related Financing Activities</u>	
Loans From Cify & County Of Denver	500,000
Loans From RTD	1,500,000
Loan From Mosher Sullivan Development Partners	250
Paid In Capital - RTD	7,101,109
Payments For Capital Assets	<u>(8,575,846)</u>
Net Cash Provided By Capital and Related Financing Activities	<u>525,513</u>

Net Increase (Decrease) In Cash	526,531
Cash, March 31, 2009	0
Cash, August 31, 2009	<u>526,531</u>

Finance Plan Update
Denver Union Station Project Authority

September 14, 2009

Finance Plan Update

Stages in finance plan development:

- *Conceptual design*- initial organization of revenues and expenditures, fundamental credit structure and debt capacity
- *Plan testing*- preliminary financial calculations
- *Indenture and Flow of Funds*- draft organization of legal architecture
- *Detailed spreadsheets*- based on revenue constraints, mandatory expenditures, debt amortization options and credit requirements
- *Cost optimization*- finding savings and efficiencies
- *Final plan implementation*- adoption of plan

Finance Plan Update

The Demarcation of the Finance Plan

Construction Period:

- Greater diversity of revenue sources
- Several one-time expenditures
- Limited direct debt service payments
- Some discretion in the timing and funding of certain costs

Post-Construction Period:

- Revenue constrained in early years
- Nominal management and operating costs
- Debt prepayment a primary consideration
- Indenture controls most revenue decisions

Finance Plan Update

Principal Construction Period Issues:

- Minimizing credit risk premium payments
- Funding debt service reserve accounts
- Interest on debt- mandatory and discretionary
- Identification of funding sources for all known expenses

Post Construction Period Issues:

- Debt service payments shaped to reflect revenue capacity
- Timing of funds released for debt prepayment
- Application of surplus revenues
- Potential and procedure for additional debt

Finance Plan Update

Construction Period Flow of Funds:

- RTD and TIF funds to Revenue Fund
- Interest on RRIF loan
- Credit risk premium payments
- Interest on TIFIA loan (if any)
- Balance held in a temporary account

Post Construction Flow of Funds:

- Revenue Fund is the same
- Balance in temporary account released to fund debt service reserve accounts
- RRIF debt service/reserve fund
- Administrative Fund
- TIFIA debt service/reserve fund
- Surplus Fund

Finance Plan Update

Primary Objectives of the Plan:

- Incorporates RRIF preference for senior lien debt and TIFIA's mission to act as a subordinate lender
- Maximizes allowable amortization term to minimize annual debt payments
- Maximizes ability to prepay debt
- Creates debt service reserve funds to conform with traditional credit requirements
- Subordinate loan repayment structure that withstands low revenue growth

Finance Plan Update

Financial Summary of the Plan:

➤ Construction period revenue and use

- ❖ \$54 million in RTD payments plus limited tax-increment revenues
- ❖ Major use of funds is to pay DOT credit risk premiums – estimated at between \$10.5 and \$20 million
- ❖ Interest on debt estimated at \$27 million
- ❖ Target for reserve funds is \$14.5 to \$15 million; capacity to borrow for this purpose

Finance Plan Update

Financial Summary of the Plan (continued):

➤ Post construction period revenue and use

- ❖ Annual revenues between \$12.5 million and increasing to over \$40 million
- ❖ Senior lien debt well secured; debt payment coverage ranges from 1.13 to over 3.0 times annual debt payment
- ❖ Subordinate debt relies on tax-increment growth for repayment; coverage is from 1.10 to 1.90 times annual debt payment
- ❖ Current forecast shows debt retired in 2032 versus 2039 final maturity date

Finance Plan Update

Liquidity Issues During Construction:

- Periodically DUSPA will need to fund an expense but will lack a sufficient cash balance to pay the obligation
- Creates a need for a short-term or interim borrowing pending receipt of funds
- Short term funding could be secured from
 - ❖ Bank line of credit
 - ❖ Sale of short term obligation
 - ❖ Intergovernmental loan
 - ❖ Temporary use of grant funds for liquidity

Finance Plan Update

Liquidity Issues During Construction:

- Development of a plan will be based, in part, on features such as:
 - ❖ Duration of liquidity funding – is the repayment term acceptable to a given lender
 - ❖ Liquidity facility costs – what are the fees and interest rate charged for the facility
 - ❖ Lender acceptance of repayment security – is the plan for repayment deemed acceptable
- Inquiries have been initiated with commercial lenders; targets are institutions that have both public finance knowledge for entities such as DUSPA and commercial lending facilities
- Intergovernmental options also being explored

STATE OF COLORADO

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Russell George, Executive Director
4201 East Arkansas Avenue, Room 262
Denver CO 80222
(303) 757-9201
(303) 757-9656 Fax



September 14, 2009

Via Hand Delivery

Elbra Wedgeworth
President
Denver Union Station Project Authority
1200 Seventeenth Street, Suite 1500
Denver, CO 80202

Re: CDOT Director Appointment to the Denver Union Station Project Authority
Board of Directors

Dear Ms. Wedgeworth:

On September 3, 2009, the Denver Union Station Project Authority ("DUSPA") Board of Directors received the attached notice of resignation from the Colorado Department of Transportation ("CDOT") agency appointee, Peggy Catlin (the "CDOT Appointee"). The resignation took place immediately and the DUSPA Board President formally requested my official appointment of a successor CDOT Director so that the DUSPA Board of Directors could fill the resulting vacancy.

As the appropriate authorized officer of CDOT to make appointments to the DUSPA Board of Directors, please accept this correspondence as my notice of appointment (pursuant to Section 6.05 of the DUSPA Articles of Incorporation) of Russell George as the successor CDOT Director.

Sincerely

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Russell George". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Russell George
CDOT Executive Director

cc: DUSPA Board of Directors

DUSPA

Denver Union Station Project Authority
1200 Seventeenth Street
Suite 1500
Denver, Colorado 80202-5835
(303) 899-7300

September 14, 2009

Via Hand Delivery

Russell George
Executive Director
Colorado Department of Transportation
4201 E. Arkansas Avenue
Denver, CO 80222

Re: CDOT Director Resignation from the Denver Union Station Project Authority Board of Directors

Dear Mr. George:

On September 3, 2009, the Denver Union Station Project Authority ("DUSPA") Board of Directors received the attached notice of resignation from the Colorado Department of Transportation agency appointee, Peggy Catlin (the "CDOT Appointee"). The resignation of the CDOT Appointee is to take place immediately as of its receipt by the DUSPA Board President. The DUSPA Board of Directors formally requests your official appointment of a successor CDOT Director so that the DUSPA Board of Directors may fill the resulting vacancy.

Pursuant to Section 6.05 of the DUSPA Articles of Incorporation, any successor CDOT Director must be appointed by the appropriate authorized officer of CDOT. The successor CDOT Director shall serve for the remainder of his or her predecessor's term, currently scheduled to expire December 31, 2011.

Yours,


Elbra Wedgeworth
President

cc: Tom Gougeon, Secretary