DENVER UNION STATION PROJECT AUTHORITY ANNUAL MEETING OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS September 2, 2010 MINUTES

BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT

BOARD MEMBERS ABSENT

Jerry Glick

Elbra Wedgeworth

Mark Smith

Michael West

Jennifer Schaufele

Russell George

Robin Kniech

Kent Bagley

Mario Carrera

Claude Pumila

Judy Montero

Marla Lien

Don Hunt

I. CALL TO ORDER

Elbra Wedgeworth, DUSPA Board President, called the Meeting of the Board of Directors of DUSPA to order at 1:30 p.m.

II. WELCOME AND INTRODUCTION OF GUESTS

President Wedgeworth welcomed everyone to the Annual Meeting of the Board of Directors and announced that all should take a cupcake.

III. PUBLIC COMMENT

Ms. Wedgeworth asked for public comment. Tom Quammen with Protective Coatings Intermountain introduced himself to the Board and stated that he had sent a letter regarding protective coatings for steel products at the project. Mr. Quammen asked whether there were any questions regarding his correspondence. There were no questions.

IV. ROLL CALL

Dawn Bookhardt called roll. Please see above.

V. <u>APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES</u>

Elbra Wedgeworth asked for comments to the July 16, 2010 meeting minutes, the July 20, 2010 meeting minutes and the August 5, 2010 meeting minutes. Russell George reported that Mr. Patrick Byrne's name is spelled incorrectly in the August 5, 2010 meeting minutes.

Jerry Glick moved to approve the above meeting minutes with the amendment to the August 5, 2010 minutes. Kent Bagley seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously.¹

VI. <u>DISCUSSION ITEMS</u>

- A) Affirmative Statement establishing Annual Meeting.
- i) Annual Schedule the draft annual schedule as handed out at the last Board meeting and as included in the board packet will be the final schedule to be voted upon. The Finance Committee meeting schedule has been revised to ensure that a Finance Committee meeting will be held two days before the Board meeting.
 - ii) Record of Accomplishments.

Elbra Wedgeworth reported that the record of accomplishments this year is substantial and includes executing the Design Build Agreement with Kiewit to obtaining the Federal Loans. She reported that a list of accomplishments was included in the Board packet.

iii) Public Notice Posting Location.

President Wedgeworth declared that the location of posting of the public notices of meetings will continue to be the RTD lobby at 1600 Blake Street, Denver, Colorado.

iv) Metropolitan District Board Vacancy.

Dawn Bookhardt reported that the Metropolitan District is still looking at viable options to replace Mark Smith and that the FTA has been involved in discussions to resolve the issue. She reported that the goal is to have it resolved by the next Board meeting.

¹ Don Hunt arrived shortly after this vote.

v) Slate of Officer Elections.

President Wedgeworth requested that the Action Items portion of today's meeting be moved up on the agenda to accommodate an earlier election of officers.

B) Finance Committee Report

Dawn Bookhardt reported that the Finance Committee approved the Master Development Agreement amendment that has provisions to include federal requirements that will allow TIFIA funds to be paid to the Developer. She reported that the draft amendment has a blank where the percentage of DBE requirements are provided because a goal has not yet been established. She stated that the Developer has reported that 7.5% should be the participation goal.

C) Owner's Representative Report

Bill Mosher handed out copies of budget summary spreadsheets (see attached).

i) Update Regarding Project Progress and Budget

Mr. Mosher reported that the first two pages report information on the \$3 million advance from the City and RTD that, once DUSPA gets funded, will be paid back.

He reported that \$65 million is the amount spent on the Project to date and the \$57 million is the amount paid to date. He reported that DUSPA will need the loan draws in order to make the August payment.

Mike Sullivan reported that the backyard is great.

Mr. Sullivan reported the financial information is complete through the end of July. He reported that the overall Project completion through the end of August is as follows:

Overall the Project is 21% complete. The LRT is 45% complete The Bus Facility is 20% complete. The Streets and Plazas are 17% complete.

Kiewit has now had 549 accident free days on the site.

Mr. Sullivan stated that the vertical walls of the Bus Facility are being formed and that Kiewit is finishing the mat-slab, which is currently at 50%, and that it will be done for this phase of the Bus Facility in two weeks.

He reported that installation of the main duct bank for the LRT will continue through the end of September. He reported that next week will begin the installation of the high block walls.

Mr. Sullivan reported that the streets package will be 100% by mid-October and that the public realm will be the remaining flatwork areas. The CRT is at the 90% design completion stage and that it will be 100% designed in October. He stated that the public realm is the only remaining design needed.

Mr. Sullivan reported that the groundwater remediation is still the biggest challenge but that the Project has remained 100%.compliant with the discharge permit.

Bill Mosher asked if there were any questions regarding the budget handouts prior to moving to the Public Realm portion of the agenda. There were no questions.

ii) Public Realm Update

Bill Mosher handed out a summary sheet showing the remaining milestones for the design of the public realm and stated that the goal is to have pricing on the public realm from Kiewit by the beginning of December.

The handout shows key dates as follows:

September 7 is a presentation to the Landmark Preservation Commission regarding the canopy connection to the historic building.

On September 15 the Peer Group will convene at 2:00 and then there will be a public meeting at RTD.

On September 21 the design group will return to the Landmark Preservation Commission to present all spaces next to the historic building.

On October 6, approvals for the pedestrian bridge and the canopy will be sought from the Planning Board. See the handout for additional dates and milestones.

Bill Mosher also handed out a summary of the DUS Public Realm Priority Discussion Items and a letter from the LoDo District, Inc. neighborhood group.

He reported that he met with the Union Station Advocates this morning. He reported that Kiewit's design team – Kristopher Takacs from AECOM and Ken Haynes of Hargraeves have been provided the information.

Robin Kniech stated that, with respect to the public meeting process, DUSPA wanted to approve the public outreach process regarding input from the public and then make decisions on these issues. She asked what entry points are available to the public.

Bill Mosher responded that many groups in addition to Union Station Advocates and the LoDo District have had entry points and have participated in the process. He suggested that, after today's meeting, it appears that everyone is on the same page.

Robin Kniech suggested that people may not know the format, for example if a design is priced, then people think that the process is over and the time for input is over.

Mr. Mosher replied that the process is still open for suggestions, but that DUSPA has received lots of input already.

Mario Carrera suggested that the website could be a point of entry for input.

iii) Public Realm Update Presentation.

Ken Haynes and Kristopher Takacs presented a slide show regarding the public spaces.

Ken Haynes reported that considerable work has been done west of the station and that they are at the 30% design stage for areas both east and west of the historic building. He reported that the current process includes selecting materials and prioritizing the spaces.

Mr. Haynes and Mr. Takacs proceeded to summarize the design elements of each of the spaces.

Jerry Glick asked that they summarize some of the discussion items from the public meetings.

Ken Haynes reported that the curb line on Wynkoop and how the loading curb will pinch pedestrian circulation and the fountain has been the subject of discussion including the opening of the seating walls.

Elbra Wedgeworth stated that, when Denver remodeled Skyline Park, the Denver Police Department was consulted and asked Ken and Kristopher whether they will consult the police department.

Ken Haynes replied that DPD will be consulted.

Jerry Glick stated that Bill Mosher was very clear this morning regarding priorities of the spaces and asked him to discuss the priorities here.

Bill Mosher responded that the priorities, according to the amount of investment are as follows:

- 1. The 16th Street Mall this design must match the City's plan
- 2. The Wynkoop Plaza is 1/3 of the budget.
- 3. The 17th Street Garden
- 4. The LRT Plaza
- 5. The Pedestrian Bridge over 18th Street.

Mario Carrera asked about lighting.

Ken Haynes responded that they are holding back on the lighting plan because the criteria have not been finalized. Right now, the lighting criteria is 5 foot-candles which is huge. He reported that they are trying to get it reduced.

Frank Cannon stated that one of the challenges with such a high lumen lighting requirement is the shadows that are cast and the lighting contrast between shadow areas and lit areas.

Bill Mosher suggested that the goal is to get the lighting requirement cut back.

Robin Kniech asked whether DUSPA has kept experts on universal design.

Bill Mosher responded yes, Lorraine Ferris with the City of Denver has been consulted.

Judy Montero stated that she supports Elbra Wedgeworth's suggestion to use the Denver Police Department for assistance with safety issues.

Kristopher Takacs reported that the design of the transit elements of the project is substantially complete. He reported that the next design package after the canopy is the signage package.

Mr. Takacs then presented design components of the 18th Street pedestrian bridge and various configurations and materials for the guard rail system.

Kent Bagley asked whether a fence panel will be available for people to look at before final selection.

Mr. Takacs responded that a panel will be available for viewing at USNC's office in Union Station.

Mr. Takacs then presented the train room canopy design, the canopy connection to the historic station and the exhaust tube stack updates. Mr. Takacs brought a small scale model of one of the vent tube stacks and reported that DUSPA owns the copyright on this design.

Elbra Wedgeworth asked the Board Members whether there were any questions. There were no questions.

D) USNC/RTD Report.

Martin Lily (USNC), Michael Hughes (Keystone Group) and Bill Sirois (RTD) introduced themselves.

Bill Sirois is the liaison for the historic building task force. Mr. Sirois reported that the goal is to help the RTD Board make decisions regarding the future uses and funding for the historic Union Station building.

Mr. Sirois reported on the background issues with the historic building as follows:

Timing
Amtrak
Open and public process

Public Investment in the building
Development of goals for the building
Development of recommendations for the Board

Mr. Sirois reported that the next steps for the process include a public meeting by early October and look for an RTD Board action by February 2011.

Robin Kniech asked whether the DUSPA Board is involved in decision process.

Mike Sullivan responded that DUSPA has some input into the process, but that it is up to RTD to recommend uses for the building.

Marla Lien also responded that the \$17 million in the DUSPA budget for the historic building is for basic items to operate the building and does not really include budgeted moneys for discretionary uses. She suggested that the RTD process includes next steps for after the building opens.

Martin Lily reported that he is working with Civil Technologies on the assessment of the historic building. He reported that the Initial Assessment with Historic Denver and Slater Paul Architects is being finalized. He reported that after finalizing the Initial Assessment, the next steps are to develop a Station Services Plan and perform a Future Uses Assessment.

Mr. Lily reported that the initial budget of \$7 million is for basic items and base uses of the historic building. Any other repairs or upgrades to the building are outside of this initial budget.

VII. ACTION ITEMS

A) Election of Officers

Ms. Wedgeworth called for nominations for DUSPA Board President, Vice President, Secretary and Treasurer.

Mario Carrera moved to nominate a slate of officers from the floor, in block form. Jerry Glick seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously.

Ms. Wedgeworth then opened the floor for nominations.

Mario Carrera moved to nominate the following persons to continue to serve in their current offices:

Elbra Wedgeworth, President Jerry Glick, Vice President Michael West, Secretary Claude Pumilia, Treasurer Ms. Wedgeworth called for any further nominations from the floor. There being none, the floor was closed for nominations. President Wedgeworth then called for a second and the vote. Jerry Glick seconded the vote and the motion carried unanimously.

B) Amendment to Master Development Agreement

Elbra Wedgeworth asked for a motion for consensus approval for the Amendment to the Master Development Agreement.

Jerry Glick moved to provide consensus approval for the amendment. Kent Bagley seconded the motion. The vote was unanimous.

VIII. <u>EXECUTIVE SESSION</u>

None.

IX. ACTION ITEMS RESULTING FROM EXECUTIVE SESSION

None.

X. CARRYOVER AND FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS

XI. ADJOURNMENT

Robin Kniech moved to adjourn this meeting.

Mario Carrera seconded the motion. The vote was unanimous.

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 3:45 p.m.

	Vote of the Board and
accepted by:	
Elbra Wedge	worth, President



DISTRICT OLD. NEW. NOW.

2010-2011 Board of Directors

Elizabeth Caswell-Dyer
Bat Chadwick
David Cole
Tom Downey
Any Evans
Don't Matovsky Fischer
Jim Graebner
Jim Graebner
Jin Graebner
July Hager
Laurie Helmick
Chrisban Hendrekson
Fabby Hillyard
John Habergano
Jim Kellogg
Grettlen Kerr
Jim Kellogg
Grettlen Kerr
Jim Kellogg
Grettlen Kerr
Jim Karchheimer
Mike LaMair
Mat Mahoncy
Joel Marcin
H McNisish
Maja Rosenquist
Chnis Shears
Sandy Stochmann
Jim Theye
Guenther Vogt
Ellen Wilensky

LoDo District, Inc.
1616 Seventeenth Street, Suite 478
Denver, Colorado 80202
303.628.5428 · 303.628.5495 fax
info@lodo.org · www.lodo.org

Denver Union Station Project Authority c/o Trammel Crow Company 1225 17th Street, Suite 3050 Denver, CO 80202 Mr. Bill Mosher

Re: 18th Street Pedestrian Connection at Union Station

Bill;

From your August 16 report to Union Station Advocates, the LoDo Urban Design Committee understands the 18th Street Pedestrian Bridge drawings dated May 5, 2010 are being revised. Target presentation dates include September 9 to DUSPA and October 6 to the Denver Planning Board. The Urban Design Committee requests these revised drawings be provided to us for review and comment as early as possible.

Generally, based on the May 5 drawings, the Committee would like to encourage the design team to recognize:

- The bridge is a unique opportunity for a more enhanced experience rather than only a utilitarian connection
- •
- A discussion of design ideas for the landing zones, the elevated portions of the bridge (i.e. width), and the materials and finishes should occur.

 The bridge and the landing zones can be an exceptional pedestrian experience and our committee would like to share some ideas and provide input as the design develops

Our intention is to be constructive and helpful with our input. Many members of the committee are design professionals with expertise that will be helpful.

The Committee would also like to review and provide input regarding the North Wing Building and the Station Plaza (Public Realm) design. We look forward to seeing the next design iteration and working with you as the design continues to evolve.

We recognize the great efforts on the part of the design team regarding this challenging project and look forward to the opportunity to contribute as we can. Please keep us informed as to progress and the appropriate timing for our involvement.

Yours truly,

H McNeish

Chairperson, LoDo District, Inc. Urban Design Committee

cc: LoDo District, Inc. Board

DUS PUBLIC REALM PRIORITY DISCUSSION ITEMS

New Parking Lanes on Wynkoop

the plaza paving materials extended on Wynkoop on the parking and bike lanes on both sides of Position: We acknowledge the need to add an additional lane on the north side of the Plaza to accommodate a drop off/pick up area and does not need to be widened. We'd also like to see Wynkoop, the addition of curb extensions at all corners and the Wynkoop paving materials on the entire cross walks, which will help calm traffic at what will be a heavy pedestrian crossing ROW. If a right turn lane is required on southbound Wynkoop at $16^{\rm th}$ in the future, several accommodate a drop off/pick up area. However, the south side already has the width to parking spaces can be sacrificed to create the turn lane.

Public Parking Solution

publicly. With the elimination of the public parking garage, the current plan is out of compliance Position: As yet, no alternative solution for the 150 public parking spaces has been suggested with the intent of the Master Plan as far as public parking.

Wynkoop Plaza Design Details

Paving Material(s) on Plaza

sandstone, or some combination. This will also achieve the LPC's goal of using materials adjacent to the Historic Station Building that will accentuate its materiality. The size of keeping with the LoDo look and feel, the Plaza paving should be either red brick or red Position: We have consistently suggested that the paving material on Wynkoop Plaza the paving material should be considered for future extension onto Wynkoop Street should respect the LoDo side of the project to which it is immediately adjacent. where a smaller paving unit will be more functional for paving purposes.

Plaza Street Edge Treatment/Spacing of Elements

Position: The double line of trees along Wynkoop that extend 22 feet into the Plaza take up too much room and may interfere with future programmed activities. suggest a single line of trees, or a double line that is closer together.

Fountain

programmed events, when movable chairs may achieve the same result. If delineation twice as long. This equates to an approximate 4,000 square foot fountain area which will be enormously expensive to build and maintain. If money must be found to allow Position: As illustrated on recent plans, the fountain is currently 46' wide and nearly downsized and still achieve the touch of drama and natural elements desired for the Plaza. Seat walls continue to be featured, which add costs and interfere with future other features, such as paving on Wynkoop Street, we believe the fountain can be needs to exist for the fountain area, it could be done with paving materials.

Lighting

Position: The only reference to lighting we have seen is on the Cross section drawing. Light columns are suggested. Selecting the light fixture speaks to the look and feel of the Wynkoop Plaza and again, it is desired for the Plaza to relate to the LoDo neighborhood which would imply an historic looking fixture.

Bosque/Seating Areas

of Historic Station are not desired. Larger trees with low density canopies that are easily desired, the use of trees that are so tall or have dense canopies that they block the view Position: Although the bosque and tree canopied seating areas adjacent to the Historic Station Building does solve for the addition of softscape on the Plaza which has been seen through would be desired.

Infrastructure

expected events and for maintenance in general including, power, water, audio visual Position: The Plaza should be built with the elements required for servicing future and telecommunications.

18th Street Pedestrian Bridge and Stairs

of the public plaza, has been handed down to the north wing building developer and encourage fencing lining both sides will create a highly undesirable north path through the transit area to the staircase from Wynkoop Plaza to the bridge, which at one time was a major design feature areas that will enhance the space. We have learned that the responsibility for construction of Position: The distressing solution of a narrow bridge over the tracks at $18^{\rm th}$ Street, with metal which many will enjoy people and train watching. We strongly encourage some cantilevered the Central Platte Valley side. This is a location with a great view of the train platforms from DUSPA to maintain total control of the design.

17th Street Promenade Configuration

area less permeable and user friendly. The pattern can still be achieved, but the "rooms" could Position: The pacing of the linear pattern as displayed through the use of concrete seat walls and planter troughs through the gardened area of $17^{\rm th}$ Street Promenade will make the garden be enlarged and offer openings through which to pass as the pedestrian moves along the east/west direction. Blu Mosmen Q/Z August 31, 2010 SUBJECT TO CHANGE Page 1

Date	Activity
Thursday	DUSPA Board Update 1:30 PM
September 2	 Public Realm Update (focus on Wynkoop Plaza)
	 Ped Bridge Update
	Canopy Update
	 North Wing Update by USNC
Tuesday September 7	LPC Advance Material on DUS Canopy to CCD
Wednesday	Peer Group ~ 2:00 PM
September 15	Public Meeting 5:30 PM
	 Public Realm update with material samples
	 Ped Bridge Update
	DUS Canopy Update
Tuesday	LPC:
September 21	DUS Canopy
	 Public Realm Wynkoop Update
	 North Wing Building Design Approval
Wednesday October 6	Planning Board re: canopy and ped bridge
(IF NEEDED)	Peer Group – Public Realm
Early October	Public Meeting Public Realm
November 1	Public Realm 60% Submittal
End of November	Kiewit Public Realm Pricing Available to Team for Review
December 1 or 15	Public Realm West to Planning Board for Approval
December 7	Wynkoop Plaza to LPC for Approval

- DEN501-01	As Of 07/31/10	
DUSPA Organizational Budget - DEN501-01	Project Cost Tracking	

CHECK

Development Budget							
Category	(6/4/2009) Initial Budget	Revisions	Kevised Budget	Committed Amount	Pd To Date	Pd To Date Est To Compl	Variance
Hard Costs	<	<	•		ć	c	<
	0	n i	0	0	0	0	<u> </u>
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hard Cost Contigency	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Hard Costs	0	0	0	0	0	0	θ
Soft Costs							
TIFIA Application Fee	30,000	0	30,000	30,000	30,000	0	0
TIFIA Transaction Fee	300,000	0	300,000	0	0	0	300,000
TIFIA Processing Fee	75,000	0	75,000	0	0	0	75,000
TIFIA Project Monitoring Fee	40,000	0	40,000	0	0	0	40,000
TIFIA Annual Service Fee	11,000	0	11,000	0	0	0	11,000
RRIF Application Fee/Closing Costs	200,000	0	200,000	85,000	85,000	0	115,000
Rating Fee	100,000	0	100,000	30,000	30,000	0	70,000
Financial Advisor, incl Reimb	105,000	0	105,000	110,274	110,274	(0)	(5,274)
D & O Insurance	000'09	0	000'09	114,787	114,787	0	(54,787)
Legal Fees Thru 4/30/09	500,000	0	500,000	499,183	499,183	0	817
Legal Fees - May, 2009	100,000	0	100,000	87,558	87,558	(0)	12,442
Owners Rep, Jan - Apr, 2009	200,000	0	200,000	200,000	200,000	0	0
Owner's Rep - May, 2009	75,000	0	75,000	70,000	70,000	0	5,000
Developer Fee, Jan - Apr, 2009	700,000	0	700,000	700,000	700,000	0	0
Peer Design Review	0	0	0	8,750	8,750	0	(8,750)
Historic Assessment Grant Match	0	0	0	33,325	33,325	0	(33,325)
Public Involvement Consultant	0	0	0	25,600	25,600	0	(25,600)
Feasibility Study Peer Review	0	0	0	26,470	26,470	0	(26,470)
Accounting	0	0	0	44,624	44,624	0	(44,624)
Travel	0	0	0	4,378	4,377	0	(4,378)
Insurance - \$25M G/L Add	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
The second secon	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
General & Admin	0	0	0	3,894	3,893	0	(3,894)
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Soft Cost Contingency	504,000	0	504,000	0	0	0	504,000
Total Soft Costs	3,000,000	0	3,000,000	2,073,843	2,073,842		926,158
Total Project Costs	3,000,000	0	3,000,000	2,073,843	2,073,842	Ţ	926,158

S
ote
Z

Loan From K1D Costs Paid Im Org Acct pending Rmb via RTD Draw Funding: Manager Of Finance - Amtrak TI Permit Denver Water - Water Tap 2010 Delgany Xcel Energy - new LRT Power Xcel Energy - Amtrak Temp Power Less: ICO Rec fm Vectra Acct #1026 - DEN501-02	1,500,000	Datatice red Datas (7517) Outstanding Checks Marsh RRIF Qwest Chad Fuller Columbia Group Reimb Alex Brown Reimb Bondi Marsh
Total Sources/(Uses) Per Books Total Costs Per Tracking Cash On Hand Per Books at 6/30/10 Pending Reinb Thru Draw Funding Total Cash Available	2,453,315 2,073,842 379,473 45,378 424,851	Pending Transfer Fm Constr Acct Balance Per Books [ICO Rec from DENS01.02 9/09 Trans Fm 1021 to 1026 to cover bank fees Ck # 1035 dated 12/16/09 To BNSF Ck #1033 dated 11/23/09 to BNSF Total ICO betw DENS01-01 & DENS01-02

379,472.82

OK

CHECK

Food Rmb/Meeting, TCC office @ DUS/Office Supp Downprnt on additional \$25M G/L policy Includes \$5,274 of reinib + K of \$105k Facsimile Signature Cartridge Copies/TIFIA Application thru 5/1/11 DC Trip DC Trip DC Trip DC Trip Est To Compl 9 0 9000 990000 0000 0 0 0 0 - 0 2,073,842 114,787 110,274 64,603 22,955 200,000 70,000 85,000 30,000 30,000 86,928 700,000 2,500 33,325 26,470 18,724 (1,798) 2,250 2,750 208 3,302 598 1,110 2,070 59 494 0 0 Commited 110,274 412,255 86,928 114,787 64,603 22,955 200,000 70,000 2,073,843 85,000 700,000 33,325 25,600 26,470 30,000 (1,798) 18,724 25,900 2,250 1,250 2,750 2,500 238 208 3,302 598 2,070 494 59 Legal Fees Thru 4/30/09

Legal Fees - May, 2009

Legal Fees - May, 2009

Owners Rep, Jan - Apr, 2

Peer Design Review

Historic Assessment Gran
Public Involvement Consul

Feasibility Study Peer Rey

Accounting
Accounting

General & Admin

General & Admin

Travel

Trav RRIF Application Fee/Clos TIFIA Application Fee TIFIA Annual Service Fee RRIF Application Fee/Clos Rating Fee
Financial Advisor, incl Rei
Legal Fees Thru 4/30/09 TIFIA Project Monitoring TIFIA Transaction Fee TIFIA Processing Fee Check D & O Insurance Rating Fee Rating Fee Union Station Neighborhood Co Economic & Planning Systems TIFIA Project Monitoring Fee Alex Brown Financial Advisor Columbia Group City & County Of Denver TIFIA Annual Service Fee TIFIA Application Fee TIFIA Transaction Fee TIFIA Processing Fee RRIF Closing Costs RRIF Application Fee Hogan & Hartson Bookhardt & O'Toole Interest Income Soft Cost Contingency Bookhardt & O'Toole Detail By Vendor Peer Design Review Manager Of Finance Historic Denver Inc Federal RR Admin Norris Design 4240 Architecture Hogan & Hartson Trammell Crow Trammell Crow S&P Rating Fee William Mosher Ann Mullins Alex Brown Bank Fees Mike Sulliva Chad Fuller Advantage Civitas Bondi Marsh

Cost Tracking -

DENVER UNION STATION - DENSO1-01 & DENSO1-02
PROJECT COST TRACKING
As Of 07/31/10 Thru Draw #14

DEVELOPMENT BUDGET

CHECK

ESTIMATE TO COMPLETE 11,000,000 1,500,000 5,000,000 500,000 12,000,000 1,905,000 5,460,306 1,082,436 1,85,213 1,000,000 2,500,000 4,528,169 4,081,031 3,475,000 145,337 390,607 11,250 205,376 2,023,088 544,865 419,543 119,543 1,546,717 1,824,589 1,520,798 3,979,900 671,521 513,482 (786,111) 625,970 50,000 6,000,000 3,717,085 0 500,000 232,000 650,000 70,000 115,000 111,951 PD TO DATE 1,411,831 269, 55 787 30,000 85,000 30,000 213,049 26,470 FORECASTED COMMITMENTS 11,017,420 12,023,088 544,865 419,543 4,200,000 1,824,589 1,520,798 3,579,900 671,521 2,332,271 11,000,000 1,500,000 5,000,000 20,000 12,000,000 1,905,000 (1,232,542) 9,000,000 3,000,000 300,000 1,000,000 17,000,000 3,800,000 33,325 513,482 (786,111) 625,970 50,000 0 0 0 0 0 456,000 200,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 300,000 750,000 500,000 50,000 750,000 750,000 0 500,000 232,000 650,000 11,000,000 1,500,000 5,000,000 500,000 12,000,000 1,905,000 (1,232,542) 9,000,000 3,000,000 300,000 1,000,000 400,000 0 1,000,000 500,000 11,017,420 12,023,088 544,865 419,543 4,200,000 1,546,717 1,520,798 1,520,798 3,579,900 671,521 2,332,271 2,500,000 5,940,000 4,988,608 300,000 6,300,000 450,000 200,000 200,000 300,000 7750,000 500,000 100,000 750,000 0 500,000 232,000 650,000 456,000 200,000 100,000 325,000 26,470 0 BUDGET CHANGES/RECLASS 000,000,1 750,000 0 (807,818) 0 107,000 (11,392) (350,594) (250,000) (400,000) 0 1,000,000 0 227,503 (286,111) 125,970 0 6,000,000 55,871 1,621,706 0 11,000,000 5,000,000 5000,000 12,000,000 1,905,000 1,232,542) 7.500,000
2.000,0100
2.000,0100
3.000,0100
400,000
6.500,000
5.500,000
6.500,000
6.500,000
6.500,000
7.500,000
7.500,000
7.500,000
7.500,000
7.500,000 481,020,407 11,017,420 12,023,088 544,865 419,543 4,200,000 1.546,717 1,324,589 1,520,798 3,979,900 671,521 2,332,271 000,000, 341,062,922 807,818 500,000 125,000 650,000 0 0 0 0 2.800,000 50,000 50,000 0 50,000 150,000 000,000 900,000 100,000 50,000 750,000 456,000 200,000 100,000 325,000 0 0 0 Insurance (OCIP)
Legal Rees - DUSPA
Legal Rees - DUSPA
Legal Rees - DOT Loan
DUS Removation
DUS Removation
Lot A Underbuild
Lot B Overbuild (Tolal Cost Est \$5.5M)
Project Management Rees
Reministrees
Permistrees
Public Ant (Match FTA Grant)
USNC Developer Rees starting Jan, 2009
General & Admin Costs
Public Involvement Consultant
Public Involvement Consultant
Public Involvement Consultant
Peer Review Group Stipend
Auditing/Accounting RTD Construction Mgm Fees
RTD Construction Mgm Fees
RTD Construction Mgm Fees
PB Amendment #13 (Jan-Apr, 2009 Design)
Comm at LRT
CRT Signals
Shuttle Vehicles
Amirak lease pmts to RTD to offset DUS OH
(mft 7 - 48) Threat and Vulnerability Requirements
Parking Spaces in Lot G Garage
Additional Amtrak Requirements
Historic Assessment Grant Matching Funds
LRT Peer Group Changes
Vent Tube Changes
Vent Tube Changes
RTD Furniture for Sales & Marketing
Deferred Public Realm Design & Construction RTD-SPRNTTO DATE:
CML Land Purchase
Actoffing Thru April 2009
Public Process (CRL)
RTD Staff Costs
USNC Developer Fees thru Dec, 2008
Klewit Preconstruction Costs
18th Street Turnel
Xcel/Denver Water Early Utility Relocate
Early Environmental Work
Els Prep (PB)
Systems Design to 30% ALLOCATED CONTINGENCY EIS Mittgation
Water & Sewer Taps
CRT Canopy @ Historic DUS
Light Bulb Supply Costs LAND: Ice House/18th Street ROW Temporary Easements Rating Fees
Financial Advisor
Feasibility Study/Peer Review FINANCING COSTS TIFIA Fees RRIF Fees Total Project Cost CATEGORY

FUNDING OF COSTS:	
Loan From C&C of Denver	1,000,000
Loan From RTD	1,500,000
Deposit From Mosher/Sullivan	250
Land Sale Proceeds	1,507,933
A/P	4,734,222

MATERIAL AMERICAN CANADA C	Volume to the state of the stat
The state of the s	
RTD Draw Funding thru Draw #13	57,100,001
Accrued Payables - Construction Acct	
Accrued Payables - Org Account	
Total Sources	65,842,406
Project Costs	(65,074,749)
Cash On Hand @ 7/31/10	767,657

Organizational Account	
	379,473
	767,655
Recon To Draw.	
Total Per Draw #14	105,111,766
Less. RTD Costs	(40,080,712)
Xcel Energy - On Draw #15	45,378
Less: Interest Inc (not on draw)	(1,798)
July Analysis Fees on Draw 15	115
Total Per Cost Tracking	65,074,749

~~~ <u>1</u>	The state of the s	0.00	0.00	4,730,624.09	1,507,933,00 (5,450,375.00) (400,000.00)	(4,342,442.00)
ОК	Accurals @ 5/31/10 (Paid 6/10)			1.10	eimb	ns 31/10
CHECK	Accurals @ 5/31/10 (			Bank Recon - Veetra 1260 Balance Per Bank 7/31/10	Land Sales Proceeds Kiewit Pay App #14 USNC - Metro Dist Reimb	Total Reconciling Items Balance Per Books 7/31/10

CONTRACT TRACKING:	Adjeli (Addelli Alle Alle Alle Alle Alle Alle Alle	Barbard & Barrer volume - Lovinson by Art - Barbard (in Administration and	Complete State of Management and States of Complete
Kiewit	Contract Amount 345,625,126	Paid To Date 53,232,129	
BKD	22,000	25,900	Extra's for CIP treatmen
Alex Brown Consulting	178,000	110,274	\$5,274 Travel Rmb
Denver Union Station Neighborhood Co	6,300,000	700,000	
Trammell Crow Company	4,982,994	270,000	
Economic & Planning Consultants	26,470	26,470	
CRI/Public Involvement	142,690	25,600	
Marsh \$25M G/L	127,947	20,000	
Marsh - OCIP	5,706,994	2,863,701	Thru 11/1/2013
Marsh - Builder's Risk	546,400	546,400	Thru 5/1/2013
Marsh - Pollution Liability	129,593	129,593	Thru 5/1/2014
	363,788,214	790,056,75	

VENDOR	CATEGORY	TE	FORECASTED	Pn to nate	EST TO COMPI	COMMENTS
Kiewit	Klewil GMP	<b>)</b>	342,684,628	53,232,129	289,452,499	Мерения положения положени
Contaminated Soil	Contaminated Soil	•	300,000		300,000	THE THE TWO THE THE TWO THE TW
	Contaminated Soil	•	454.622		454 622	THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O
w LRT Power	Utility Relocates	Þ	45,378	45,378	0	THE THE PERSON NAMED IN TH
Bike Lockers	Bike Lockers	þ l	100,000		100,000	
RTD Furniture for Sales & Marketing	RTD Furniture for Sales & Marketing	•	50,000	***************************************	50,000	La company of the com
Shuttle Slarter Booth	Shuttle Starter Booth	<u> </u>	20,000		20,000	MAN DEPARTMENT AND PROPERTY OF THE PARTMENT AND A SAME
Licket Vending Machines	Dewatering Treatment	<b>)</b>	750,000		750,000	
Els Mitigation	E1S Mitigation	Þ	ood oct		000,000	
Stubs	EIS Mitigation	Þ			0	
	Water & Sewer Taps	Þ	500,000		200,000	
CRT Canopy @ Historic DUS	CRT Carropy @ Historic DUS	•	232,000		232,000	
	Light Bulb Supply Costs Thesat and Vulnerability Reculrements	<u> </u>	650,000		650,000	AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA
SILIS	Parking Spaces in Lot G Garage	] >			0	A SHEAR AND A SHEA
Add'l Amtrak Requirement	Additional Antrak Requirements	•	3.717.085		3 717 085	THE PARTY OF THE P
	Additional Amtrak Requirements	<b>&gt;</b>	82.915	82.915	0	
	Historic Assessment Grant Matching Funds	•	33,325	33,325	0	
	LRT Peer Group Changes	Þ	513,482		513,482	A
inges	CRT Peer Group Changes	Þ	(786,111)		(786,111)	
	Vent Tube Changes	Þ	625,970		625,970	
Public Realm	Deferred Public Realm Design & Construction	Þ	6,000,000		6,000,000	
TIFIA Fees	TIFIA Fees	<b>)</b>	456,000	30,000	426,000	17.7
	RKJF FEES	• •	200,000	85,000	115,000	
Kaling Fees	Rating Fees	· )	70,000	20000	00000	
Alex Brown Consulting	Financial Advisor	• •	30,000	000,00	0 0	110. — 1 — 111. — 12. 11. 12. 12. 12. 12. 12. 12. 12. 12.
Public Resources Advisor Group	Financial Advisor	•	60.000	50 775	9225	
Financial Advisor	Financial Advisor	•	102,726		102,726	The state of the s
Economic & Planning Systems	Feasibility Study/Peer Review	•	26,470	26,470	0	THE THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER
CML Land Purchase	CML Land Purchase	Þ	11,017,420		11,017,420	
Arch/Eng Thru May, 2009	Arch/Eng Thru April, 2009	Þ.	12,023,088		12,023,088	
Public Process (CRL)	Public Process (CRL)	Þ	544,865		544,865	
	RTD Staff Costs	<b>)</b>	419,543		419,543	
Jec, 2008	USNC Developer Fees thru Dec, 2008	Þ	4,200,000		4,200,000	White the state of
tion Costs	Kiewit Preconstruction Costs	) )	1,546,717		1,546,717	
	Isto Street lunnel	)	1,824,589		1,824,589	
tility Relocates	seet between water Early Unity Resocutes	•	1,520,798		1,520,798	
Early Environmental Work	Early Cifficultierial Work	· •	3,979,900		006,676,6	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
<b>4</b>	Systems Design to 30%	<u> </u>	2 332 271		2 332 271	
CM Office Space	EIS Prep (PB)	<b>&gt;</b>			0	
Fees	RTD Construction Mgmt Fees	•	11,000,000		11,000,000	
Union Station Overhead	Union Station Overhead	<b>&gt;</b>	1,500,000		1,500,000	WYPOW
PB Amendment #13	PB Amendment #13 (Jan-Apr, 2009 Design)	•	5,000,000		5,000,000	201 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 1
Comm at LRT	Comm at LRT	1	500,000		500,000	A-A-MIN-1411-1-11-1-1-11-1-11-11-11-11-11-11-11
CRT Signals	CRT Signals	•	12,000,000		12,000,000	
	Shuttle Vehicles		1,905,000		1,905,000	14. 14.1
Pmt to RTD to Offset DUS OH	Antrak lease pmts to RTD to offset DUS OH (m		(1,232,542)		(1,232,542)	
Fund	Insurance (OCLP)	•	1,117,013	***	1,117,013	
	Insurance (OCIP)		346,400	546,400	0	Sollingon I jak then 5/1/13
Marsh	Insurance (OCIP)	• •	5 706 004	2 863 701	2 843 203	OCID the 11/1/13
Marsh	Insurance (OCIP)	Þ	127.947	10001	127.947	Add1 \$25M G/L
Additional Ins - Extend Policies	Insurance (OCIP)	>	1,372,053	0	1,372,053	Extend Policies
Legal Fees	Legal Fees - DUSPA	>	1,082,436		1,082,436	. В политический в по
lartson	Legal Fees - DUSPA	Þ	1,171,392	1,171,392	0	1 ON 1878 With
oole	Legal Fees - DUSPA	Þ	746,172	746,172	(0)	thru 5/31/10
Legal Fees - DOT	Legal Fees - DOT Loan	•	1,000,000	0	1,000,000	
its & Ufficers insurance	Directors & Officers Insurance		185,213	114 787	183,213	D&O then \$/1/11
Bond Counsel	DUS Renovation	F		17,617	0	Coco una stata
DUS Renovation	DUS Renovation	Þ	17,000,000		17,000,000	***************************************
USNC	CPV Metro Distr Rmb Exp (per USNC Agrmt)	Þ	400,000	400,000	0	
Lot B Overbuild	Lot B Overbuild	Þ			0	The state of the s
Lot G Overbuild	Lot G Overbuild (Total Cost Est \$5.5M)	Þ	2,500,000		2,500,000	A DOLVING A MANUAL PARK OF THE PROPERTY OF THE
Owner's Rep Fees	Project Management Fees	Þ	957,006		957,006	ALL DOLLANDS WAS THE RESIDENCE.
Trammell Crow Company	Project Management Fees	• •	4,982,994	1,411,831	3,571,163	A. A
Permis/rees	Domireffee	•	4,081,031	797	4,081,031	The state of the s
Denver Water	Permits/Fees	Þ	60.793	60,793	. 6	ALAMAMMANAN AND THE TO THE TOTAL THE
Public Art	Public Art (Match FTA Grant)	Þ	300,000		300,000	
Union Station Neighborhood	USNC Developer Fees starting Jan, 2009	Þ	6,300,000	2,825,000	3,475,000	
General & Admin	General & Admin Costs	Þ	144,365		144,365	
Vectra Bank	General & Admin Costs	<b>)</b>	765	765	(0)	Checks & Analysis, \$557 = Acctg #1260
Owest	General & Admin Costs	•	3,508	3,302	206	Engine de Circaptica
Advantage Laser TCC (Reinh)	General & Admin Costs	•	494	494	0	racsimire offinante
Alex Brown	General & Admin Costs	þ	239	239	0	
Manager Of Finance - Copy Rmb	General & Admin Costs	Þ	792	792	(0)	and the Artificial monotoning and the second
Interest Income - Vectra	General & Admin Costs	Þ	(1,798)	(1,798)	(0)	MALLAN (META)
Mike Sullivan	General & Admin Costs	<b>)</b>	2,070	2,070	0	WATER TO THE PARTY OF THE PARTY
Manager Of Finance - Travel Rmb	General & Admin Costs	•	1,110	011,1	0	- ATTOMATION OF THE PROPERTY O
Diane Barrett - Travel Rmb	הפיביה מאניותו מינילא או והאלולה	1	700	cn7	T T	A description of the second of
			6			Coert Trackins - Const

# DENVER UNION STATION - DENSO1-01 & DENSO1-02 PROJECT COST TRACKING As Of 07/31/10 Thru Draw #14

	THE STREET STREET, VANDAGE AND A STREET, VANDA			CHECK	ОК	
Chad Fuller - Travel Rmb	General & Admin Costs	Þ	1,012	247	765	
Columbia Group	General & Admin Costs	•	59	59	(0)	
William Mosher - Travel Rmb	General & Admin Costs	Þ	745	745	0	
BNSF - Flagging	General & Admin Costs	Þ	40,728	40,728	(0)	
UP - Flagging	General & Admin Costs	Þ	5,108	5,108	1	
Public Involvement Consultant	Public Involvement Consultant	Þ	307,310		307,310	NAME OF THE PROPERTY OF ADDRESS AND ADDRES
Public Involvement Consultant (CRL)	Public Involvement Consultant	Þ	142,690	59,393	83,297	TANKET TO A LABORATION OF THE PARTY OF THE P
Peer Review	Peer Review Group Stipend	Þ	11,250		11,250	TOTAL STREET,
Norris Design	Peer Review Group Süpend	Þ	2,250	2,250	0	THE THE TAXABLE PROPERTY OF TAXABL
4240 Architecture	Peer Review Group Stipend	•	1,250	1,250	0	A TAXABLE STATE OF THE STATE OF
Civitas	Peer Review Group Supend	Þ	2,750	2,750	0	THE PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PROPERTY ADDRESS OF THE PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PROPERTY ADDRESS OF THE PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PROPERTY ADDRE
Ann Mullins	Peer Review Group Stipend	Þ	2,500	2,500	0	A STATEMENT OF THE PROPERTY OF
Accounting/Audit	Auditing/Accounting	<b>&gt;</b>	205,376	0	205.376	THE THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER
Bondi	Auditing/Accounting	•	18,724	18,724	0	The state of the s
BKD	Auditing/Accounting	•	25,900	25,900	0	contract was \$22k; \$4k extra for CIP treatment
Ice House/18th Street ROW	Ice House/18th Street ROW	>	1,000,000		1.000.000	AND DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERT
Temporary Easements	Temporary Easements	<u> </u>	487,400		487,400	A THE RESIDENCE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERT
BNSF	Temporary Easements	Þ	12,600	12,600	0	CALLAGRADA AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND
Alta Cityhouse	Temporary Easements	Þ			0	The state of the s
777	Contaminated Soil	Þ			0	- the state of the
	Lot A Underbuild	>		***************************************	0	TTO THE VARIATION OF TH
	Dewatering Treatment	Þ		***************************************	0	The state of the s
	CPV Metro Distr Rmb Exp (per USNC Agrmt)	▶				and the second s
TO THE ROLL BY THE PROPERTY OF		Þ			) (	
	CPV Metro Distr Rmb Exp (per USNC Agrmt)	▶			0	
	RTD Construction Mgmt Fees	Þ			d	***************************************
THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O	Project Management Fees	▶			c	
TO THE THE PERSON OF THE PERSO	RTD Construction Maint Fees	Þ			U	
The second secon	CPV Metro Distr Rmb Exp (per USNC Agrmt)	Þ				
The state of the s	CPV Metro Distr Rmb Exp (per USNC Aarmt)	<b></b>				
	CPV Metro Distr Rmb Exp (per USNC Agrmt)	•				
	Project Management Fees	Þ			0	***************************************
	Kiewit Preconstruction Costs	▶				44-100-44-4-100-4-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-
	CPV Metro Distr Rmb Exp (per USNC Agrmt)	•			0 0	
CHARLES THE RESERVE TO THE TAXABLE THE TAXABLE THE TAXABLE TO THE TAXABLE THE	Lot A Underbuild	Þ			o c	
	Lot A Underbuild	Þ			0	
	Lot A Underbuild	Þ			0	
	Comm at LRT	Þ			0	
	CRT Signals	Þ			0	THE RESERVE AND PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF TH
	CPV Metro Distr Rmb Exp (per USNC Agrmt)	Þ			0	
	CPV Metro Distr Rmb Exp (per USNC Agmit)	Þ			0	
	CPV Metro Distr Rmb Exp (per USNC Agrmt)	>			٥	
	Project Management Fees	<b>&gt;</b>			0	
	CPV Metro Distr Rmb Exp (per USNC Agmt)	Þ			0	
	RTD Construction Mgmt Fees	•			0	
	UNALLOCATED CONTINGENCY:	Þ			0	
			482,512,542	65,074,749	417,437,793	